

USSN: 10/809,106  
Group Art Unit: 3743  
Docket No. 58632US004

## REMARKS

Claims 1 – 7, 11 – 43 and 48 – 52 are pending in this application.

Claims 3 – 7, 11 – 39, 42 – 43, 48 – 49 and 52 have been allowed.

Claims 40 – 41 and 50 – 51 have been rejected.

Claims 1 – 2 have been objected to.

### Amendments to the Claims

Claims 1, 2, 40 and 50 have been amended.

Claim 1 has been amended to correct antecedent basis for "front," "dome-shaped portion," "side of the channel" and "side wall." No new matter has been added.

Claim 2 has been amended to correct antecedent basis for "perimeter." No new matter has been added.

Claim 40 has been amended to correct the reference of an angle of inclination from a "front wall" to a "side wall." Support for this amendment can be found in the specification, e.g., on page 8, lines 18 – 22. No new matter has been added.

Claim 50 has been amended to correct the reference of an angle of inclination from a "front wall" to a "side wall." Support for this amendment can be found in the specification, e.g., on page 8, lines 18 – 22. No new matter has been added.

### Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 2 have been objected to for improper antecedent basis.

In particular, claim 1 has been objected to for the use of "the dome-shaped portion," "the side of the channel" and "the side wall of the hood." In each case the claim has been amended to replace the article "the" with an "a" properly introducing the term and providing antecedent basis. In addition, the phrase "the front" has been amended to "a front" to avoid any possible problem with antecedency. With the amendments, claim 1 should no longer be objectionable.

USSN: 10/809,106  
Group Art Unit: 3743  
Docket No. 58632US004

Claim 2 has been objected to for the use of "the perimeter." The claims has been amended to replace the article "the" with an "a" properly introducing the term and providing antecedent basis. With the amendment, claim 2 should no longer be objectionable.

Claims 1 and 2 should now be allowable.

#### Rejections Under 35 USC § 112

Claims 40, 41, 50 and 51 have been rejected under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Both of claims 40 and 50 required an incline of from 15 to 60 degrees from a front wall of the hood.

Both of claims 40 and 50 have been amended to instead require that the incline be referenced from a side wall of the hood. The specification adequately supports the written description requirement. For example, the specification, e.g., on page 8, lines 18 - 22, recites "This may be achieved by selecting the angle at which the inclined portion extends relative to the side wall in relation to the spacing of the outlet above the eyes. It is believed that an angle of 15 to 60 degrees relative to the side wall may be appropriate for most applications and that an angle of 45 degrees relative to the side wall may be suitable in many cases."

As noted by the Examiner, it is apparent reference to the side wall is adequately supported by the specification.

Thus, claims 40 and 50 are now believed to be adequately supported by the written description requirement.

Claims 41 and 51 are dependent upon claims 40 and 50, respectively, and narrow the angle of inclination without further specifying the reference. Thus, the amendments of claims 40 and 50 have also cured the lack of written description of claims 41 and 51, respectively.

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

04/03/2006 16:28 FAX 612 331 7401

IPLM GROUP, P.A.

011

USSN: 10/809,106  
Group Art Unit: 3743  
Docket No. 58632US004

With the amendments, claims 40, 41, 50 and 51 should no longer be rejectable under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Claims 40, 41, 50 and 51 should now be allowable.

## Allowable Subject Matter

The indication of the allowance of claims 3 – 7, 11 – 39, 42 – 43 and 52 is appreciated.

## Summary

In view of the amendments made and the arguments presented, claims 1 – 7, 11 – 43 and 48 – 52 should be allowable, this application should be in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of  
3M Innovative Properties Company

PETER D. LEE ET AL.

William D. Bauer  
William D. Bauer  
Registration No. 28,052

April 3, 2006

IPLM Group, P.A.  
Broadway Place West, Suite 6600  
1300 Godward Street NE  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55413-1741  
Telephone: 612-331-7405  
Facsimile: 612-331-7401

All correspondence regarding this application should be directed to:

Karl G. Hanson  
Office of Intellectual Property Counsel  
3M Center, Building  
P.O. Box 33427  
Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427  
Phone: 651-736-7776